Introduced by: Gary Grant
Proposed No. 81-49
CE NO. <u>5801</u>
an appeal from the recommendation ivision Examiner and denying the sification from G and RS 15,000 INNER CORPORATION, ET AL, 2-81-R.
y Council determined in the ration that the Examiner made
nance was not written for the mmendation and granting the
F KING COUNTY:
hereby reverse the findings and
of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner
with the Clerk of the Council on
ification from G and RS 15,000 to SC,
I AL, designated by the Building and Land
Planning and Community Development,
ncil determined that the reclassification
cal) Potential SR and RS 15,000 to SC
th present adopted policy and anticipates
of major controversy in the East
ncil does hereby deny the application
KINNER CORPORATION, ET AL.
st time this <u>2nd</u> day of
•
lay of
NO COUNTY COUNCIL NG COUNTY, WASHINGTON
au Baider

4-01	Introduced by: Gary Grant
	Proposed No. 81-49
	500 4
1	ORDINANCE NO. 5801
2	AN ORDINANCE granting an appeal from the recommendation of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner and denying the application for reclassification from G and RS 15,000 to SC petitioned by SKINNER CORPORATION, ET AL, designated File No. 112-81-R.
4	PREAMBLE:
.5	On June 15, 1981 the King County Council determined in the appeal hearing of Skinner Corporation that the Examiner made
6	an error in judgment. Inadvertently a substitute ordinance was not written for the
7	reversal of the Examiner's recommendation and granting the appeal.
8	
9	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
10	SECTION 1. This Ordinance does hereby reverse the findings and
11	conclusions contained in the report of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner
12	dated April 8, 1981, which was filed with the Clerk of the Council on
13	May 27, 1981, to approve the reclassification from G and RS 15,000 to SC,
14	petitioned by SKINNER CORPORATION, ET AL, designated by the Building and Land
15	Development Division, Department of Planning and Community Development,
16	File No. 112-81-R.
17	SECTION 2. The King County Council determined that the reclassification
18	of the subject property from G (General) Potential SR and RS 15,000 to SC
19	(Suburban Cluster) is in conflict with present adopted policy and anticipates
20	a pending Council action on an issue of major controversy in the East
21	Sammamish Communities Plan.
22	SECTION 3. The King County Council does hereby deny the application
23	for reclassification petitioned by SKINNER CORPORATION, ET AL.
24	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 2nd day of
25	Gebruary, 19
26	PASSED this 15th day of June, 1981.
27	KING COUNTY COUNCIL
28	ALING COOMIT, WASHINGTON
29	Jan Baider
30	ATTEST:
31	Dorathy Mr. Come
32	DÉPUTP Clerk of the Council
33	APPROVED this 28th day of Cecimer, 1981
	Enthillaint for
i	King County Executive